STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 2-98)	See SAM Section pour - posu to	i instructions and youe Ottations
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON Dight A Hon	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Fish and Game DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	Rob Allen	916-651-9953 NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Hybrid, Add CCR T-14 section 681	Z	
	ECONOMIC IMPACT ST	ATEMENT
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACT	S (Include calculations and assumption	ns in the rulemaking record.)
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate w		
a. Impacts businesses and/or employee		e. Imposes reporting requirements
b. Impacts small businesses	=	f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance
c. Impacts jobs or occupations		g. Impacts individuals
d. Impacts California competitiveness	√ 1	h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is check		
Enter the total number of businesses impacted	:Describe the types o	f businesses (Include nonprofits):
Enter the number or percentage of total business	·	
3. Enter the number of businesses that will be creat	ed:elim	inated:
Explain:		
Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional	(list areas):
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts.		(nst areas).
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or elin	minated: Describe the types	of jobs or occupations impacted:
	The state of the s	
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California b	usinesses to compete with other states	s by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?
Yes 🗸 No If yes, exp	slain briatha	
Yes✓ No If yes, exp	nan pheny.	- Annual
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.)	
What are the total statewide dollar costs that bus	inesses and individuals may incur to co	omply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing cos	sts: \$ Years:
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing cos	sts: \$ Years:
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$		sts: \$ Years:
	- •	,
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur	The state of the s	- Address

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. ** (Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$	2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: <u>NA</u>
Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?		
number of units:		costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? Yes Vo If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: and the
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$		number of units:
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$		
2. Briffly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: NA 2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority? Explain: 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$		regulations:
1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: NA 2. Are the benefits the result of:		Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$
2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority? Explain:	C.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)
Explain: 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$	1.	Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: NA
Explain: 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$		
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.) 1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: 2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered: Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 3: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ No cost: \$ Cost	2.	
1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: 2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered: Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ No Cost: \$ Cost	3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered: Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? \[\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c		
Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?	1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:
Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?		
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? \[\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? \[\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c		Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$
3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? Yes No		
4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?		Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$
equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?	3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:
equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?		
	4.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or
Explain:		equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?
		Explain:
E. MAJOR REGULATIONS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.) Cal/EPA boards, offices and departments are subject to the following additional requirements per Health and Safety Code section 57005.	<u> </u>	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

1. Wil	I the estima	ated costs of this regula	tion to California business e	nterprises exce	ed \$10 million ?	No (If No, skip the res	t of this section)
2. Briefly describe each equally as effective alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:							
Alte	ernative 1:_						
Alte	ernative 2:_						
3. For	the regula	tion, and each alternativ	e just described, enter the e	estimated total	cost and overall cost-effectiver	ness ratio:	
Reg	gulation:	\$			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$		
Alte	ernative 1:	\$			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$		
Alte	ernative 2:	\$			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$		
	·····		FISCAL	. IMPACT	STATEMENT		The second secon
A. FIS	CAL EFFE	CT ON LOCAL GOVER			ough 6 and attach calculations uent Fiscal Years)	and assumptions of fiscal impa	act for
1.		•	•			e reimbursable by the State pu de. Funding for this reimbursen	
	a.	is provided in	,Budget	Act of) or (Chapter	,Statutes o	
	b.	will be requested in the	(FISCAL YEAR)	Governo	er's Budget for appropriation in	Budget Act of	
2.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		ent State Fiscal Year which are et seq. of the Government Co	e not reimbursable by the State de because this regulation:	pursuant to
	a.	implements the Federa	mandate contained in	_			
	b.	implements the court m	andate set forth by the				
		court in the case of			vs		
			of the people of this State e	xpressed in the	ir approval of Proposition No.	at the	
		election;		- 45-			(DATE)
	[] O.	is issued only in respon	•		. whi	ch is.are the only local entity(s)	affected:
					7		
	e.	will be fully financed fro	m the		EES. REVENUE. ETC.)	autho	rized by Section
							Code:
	<u> </u>						
— .			_	overnment whic	n will, at a minimum, offset ar	ny additional costs to each such	ı unıt.
∐ 3.	Savings	of approximately \$	annually.				
\prod_{4}	No addit	ional costs or savinos b	ecause this regulation make	es only technica	l. non-substantive or clarifying	changes to current law regular	lions.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

√ 5.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.				
6.	Other.				
B. FISC	B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)				
1,	1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:				
	a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.				
	b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year.	ti			
2.	Savings of of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year.				
√ 3.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.				
4.	Other.				
C. FISC	CAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and foliable for the current year and two				
		,			
□ 1.	Additional expenditures of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year.				
	Savings of of approximately \$				
	3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.				
4. Other.					
SIGNAT		Ast Denta Fiscal			
AGENO	CY SECRETARY 1	DATE			
	OVAL/CONCURRENCE & John Tish	Ant. Desty, Fiscal 25 August 209			
DEPAR	PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER RTMENT OF FINANCE 2	DATE			
APPRO	OVAL/CONCURBENCE				

- 1. The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6600-6680, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6600-6670 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.